Revolutionizing Acute Pain in the ED: Implementing a Curriculum for Residents

Jonathan Brewer, MD¹; Joseph Marvin, MD²; Steven Field, MD³, Robert Barnes, MD⁴,

UT Southwestern Medical Center, Department of Emergency Medicine^{1,2,4}

UT Southwestern Medical Center, Department of Emergency Medicine – Division of Ultrasound³

<u>Jonathan.brewer@phhs.org</u>¹, joseph.marvin@phhs.org², <u>Steven.Field@utsouthwestern.edu</u>³, <u>Robert.barnes@bswhealth.org</u>⁴,

No financial support was provided for this manuscript

Author Contributions:

- JB Created study concept, designed, acquisitioned data/analysis, and drafted manuscript
- JM Assisted with creating study design and aquisitioning data/analysis, Critically revised manuscript
- SF Critically revised manuscript
- RB Critically revised manuscript, Supervised

None of the authors (JB, JM, SF, RB) have any conflicts of interest to disclose

Address for Corresponding Author: Dr. Jonathan Brewer Department of Emergency Medicine 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard E4.300 Dallas, TX 75390-8579 Phone: 214-769-8632

Revolutionizing Acute Pain in the ED: Implementing a Curriculum for Residents

Introduction:

Ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia (UGRA) is a safe and effective modality of pain control wellsuited to the ED setting. Implementing UGRA in the emergency department (ED) has been shown to decrease disposition times, increase patient satisfaction, and decrease need for opioid usage in the ED¹. While point of care ultrasound (POCUS) is taught to all emergency medicine (EM) residents, few programs teach the concept of regional anesthesia. Studies show that nerve blocks can be effectively taught to residency programs in simulation sessions², and acquisition of key techniques and knowledge can be measured using a pre- and post-test. In this manner we demonstrated effective implementation of a nerve block curriculum in our residency program.

Purpose:

Demonstrate an effective curriculum design for implementation of regional anesthesia in the ED

Methods:

The design of our curriculum was compiled based on an expert panel recommendation that comprised 13 ultrasound faculty members from 12 institutions³. Using these recommendations, an IRB-exempt curriculum was created for the UT Southwestern Emergency Medicine program. We began by designating specific nerve blocks that we felt that our residents should know into three various simulation sessions based on block distribution (Figure 1).

Prior to each simulation date, we developed a pre-test (Figure 2) comprised of questions pertaining to safe administration of nerve blocks and administered it to trainees. Residents then attended a session where they learned the anatomy of each block on standardized patients. Longitudinal needle guidance was

taught by ultrasound faculty with the use of an ultrasound guided IV simulator. After the session, residents took a post test to analyze retention.

Throughout the year, procedural data was collected on how many blocks were conducted in the ED. Our ultrasound faculty provided quality assurance review for every block.

Results:

Through this spaced repetition-style education, retention was demonstrated with a marked improvement on pre and post-tests. Subsequently, this education has led to a large increase in the use of regional anesthesia to treat pain in patients in our ED. We have seen preliminary data indicating decreases in need for procedural sedation and increase in patient satisfaction so this is a concept that we hope to continue to refine at UT Southwestern.

One of the most difficult aspects of creating our curriculum though was delineating which blocks the general emergency physician should know. We have noticed through retrospective analysis that some blocks are being used more than others but it is difficult to say whether this is by happenstance of patient presentation or provider preference. Further surveys and internal research will need to be conducted.

Conclusion:

An ultrasound-guided nerve block curriculum can be safely implemented in a residency program, but a systematic approach must be taken to ensure that physicians are properly trained. Displaying and justifying the steps for each part of the process helps to demonstrate a proven method of introducing US-guided nerve blocks to a residency.

Nerve blocks are currently underused in the ED despite their potential to reduce opioid use and provide more pain control options to better serve our patients. We have an opportunity to broaden our practice by introducing nerve block education programs in residencies to improve patient care.

Figure 1:

Simulation Session	Specific Nerve Block	
Upper Extremity	Interscalene/Supraclavicular	
Upper Extremity	Median/Radial/Ulnar	
Truncal	ESP/Serratus	
Truncal	Rectus Sheath/TAP	
Lower Extremity	Adductor Canal/Popliteal Sciatic	
Lower Extremity	Fascia Iliaca	

Figure 2:

1. Which Structure Below is a Nerve?



- Supraclavicular block
- 2. What is required for monitoring patients during nerve blocks?
 - a. Pulse oximeter
 - b. End-tidal CO2
 - c. Cardiac Monitoring
 - d. Blood Pressure Cuff Monitoring
 - e. All of the Above
- 3. What is proper patient positioning during an Interscalene Nerve Block?
 - a. Patient sitting at a 45 degree angle
 - b. Left Lateral Decubitus
 - c. Patient sitting at 90 degree angle
 - d. Lying Flat
 - e. Trendelenburg
- 4. Select complications that may occur from nerve blocks
 - a. Local Anesthetic Systemic Toxicity
 - b. Intraneural Injection
 - c. Hemorrhage

- d. Injection Site Infection
- e. Intravascular Injection5. What is the maximum dose for Ropivicaine 1% without epinephrine?
 - a. 2 mg/kg

 - b. 3 mg/kg c. 4.5 mg/kg
- d. 6 mg/kg6. What is the usual initial sign of local anesthetic systemic toxicity?
 - a. Seizures
 - b. Numbness/Perioral Tingling
 c. Dysrythmias
 d. Loss of Consciousness

T .	<u> </u>
HIGHTO	·
LIZUIC	.).
	-

Consent Converted by: Consent Converted by:		
 Consent Universal protocol Indications 		
Universal protocol Indications		
✓ Indications		
Indications pain relief procedural anesthesia		
✓ Location		
Body area head upper extremity trunk lower extr	remity	
Laterality Deft right bilateral		
Y Pre-procedure details		
Neurovascular intact deficits		
Skin preparation 🗋 2% chlorhexidine alcohol Hibiclens povidone-iodine		
Preparation Patient was prepped and draped in usual sterile fashion		
 Skin anesthesia (see MAR for exact dosages) 		
Skin anesthesia 🗋 none topical application local infiltration method		
 Procedure details (see MAR for exact dosages) 		
Block needle gauge 🗋 14 G 18 G 21 G 24 G 27 G	G	
16 G 20 G 22 G 25 G 30	G	
Needle Type 🗅 Pajunk Pajunk SonoPlex Pajunk SonoTap		
Guidance Doppler fluoroscopy ultrasound		
Anesthetic injected D bupivacaine 0.25% WITH epi bupivacaine 0.5% w/o epi lidocaine 2% WITH epi Ropivacai	ine 0.25% w/o epi	
bupiyacajne 0.25% w/o epi lidocajne 1% WITH epi lidocajne 2% w/o epi Bopiyacajne 0.5% W		
bupivacaine 0.5% WITH epi lidocaine 1% w/o epi Ropivacaine 0.25% WITH epi Ropivacai	Ropivacaine 0.25% WITH epi Ropivacaine 0.5% w/o epi	
Steroid injected D none betamethasone dexamethasone methylprednisolone tria	imcinolone	
Additive injected none sodium bicarbonate clonidine		
Injection procedure D anatomic landmarks identified incremental injection pegative aspiration fr	or blood	
anatomic landmarks nalpated introduced needle		
Post-procedure details		
Dressing none sterile dressing		
Outcome anesthesia achieved pain relieved pain improved pain unchanged pai	n worsened	
l'and the second s		
Patient tolerance of C tolerated well, no immediate complications procedure terminated at patient's request		
Patient tolerance of C tolerated well, no immediate complications procedure terminated at patient's request tolerated with difficulty		
Patient tolerance of tolerated well, no immediate complications procedure terminated at patient's request tolerated with difficulty Comments		
Patient tolerance of procedure tolerated well, no immediate complications tolerated with difficulty Comments ⊕ ♦ 1 C 2 2 + Insert SmartText		
Patient tolerance of procedure tolerated well, no immediate complications tolerated with difficulty Comments ⊕ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥		
Patient tolerance of procedure terminated at patient's request tolerated with difficulty Comments ⊕ ♥ ♥ ℃ C ② ② + Insert SmartText C ← ↔ ≰ ➡		

References:

- https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/canadian-journal-of-emergency- BCH; KTET. Simulation-based ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia curriculum for anesthesiology residents. *Korean journal of anesthesiology*. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30481945/.
- Tucker RV, Peterson WJ, Mink JT, et al. Defining an ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia curriculum for emergency medicine. *Wiley Online Library*. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/aet2.10557.
 Published December 11, 2020.
- 3. Wiercigroch D, Ben-Yakov M, Porplycia D, Friedman SM. Regional anesthesia in Canadian emergency departments: Emergency physician practices, Perspectives, and barriers to use: *Canadian Journal of Emergency medicine. Cambridge Core.* https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/canadian-journal-ofemergency-medicine/article/regional-anesthesia-in-canadian-emergency-departments-emergencyphysician-practices-perspectives-and-barriers-to-use/D1D0C49A18B1EA7F6F6350FA05A281C0. Published May 21, 2020.